# Asymptotic Stability of Cylindrical Singularities

#### Jingxuan Zhang

University of Copenhagen

March 7, 2022

## Set up

Consider the mean curvature flow (MCF) for a family of hypersurfaces given by immersions

$$X(\cdot,t): \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad 0 \le t < T,$$

satisfying

$$\partial_t X = -H(X)\nu(X).$$
 (MCF)

We are interested in the dynamical behaviour of a solution X to (MCF), which first develops a singularity at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , t = T > 0.

## Rescaling

We consider the following time-dependent rescaling for a solution  $X(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R}^{n-k}_{x} \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1}_{\omega} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  to (MCF) as follows:

$$X(x,\omega,t) = \underbrace{\lambda(t)}_{\in \mathbb{R}_{>0}} \underbrace{g(t)}_{\in O(n+1)} Y(\underbrace{y(x,t)}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}, \omega, \underbrace{\tau}_{\in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}) + \underbrace{\zeta(t)}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}}, \quad (\mathsf{R})$$

Here, the immersion Y is defined through this relation, and

$$egin{aligned} & \mathsf{a}(t)\in\mathbb{R}_{>0},\quad\lambda(t):=\left(2\int_t^T\mathsf{a}(t')\,dt'
ight)^{1/2},\ & y(x,t):=\lambda(t)^{-1}x,\quad au(t):=\int_0^t\lambda(t')^{-2}\,dt'. \end{aligned}$$

*Remarks.* Consider  $X(g, \zeta, a, Y) = \lambda(a)gY(y, \omega, \tau) + \zeta$  in (R).

- 1.  $\lambda = \lambda(t)$  is uniquely determined by the function a = a(t) > 0. Indeed, this  $\lambda$  is the unique solution to the Cauchy problem  $\lambda \partial_t \lambda = -a, \lambda(T) = 0.$
- 2. The terminal condition on  $\lambda$  ensures that the rescaling (R) gives rise to a tangent flow  $Y = Y(y, \omega, \tau)$  in the microscopic variable  $y = \lambda^{-1}x$  and slow time variable  $\tau = \int^t \lambda^{-2}(t') dt'$ .

3. We view  $(g, \zeta, a)$  in the rescaling (R) as an unknown a path in

$$(g,\zeta,a)\in\Sigma:=O(n+1) imes\mathbb{R}^{n+1} imes\mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

### Rescaled MCF

Plugging (R) into (MCF), we find that  $X = X(g, \zeta, a, Y)$  solves the MCF if and only if the quadruple  $(g, \zeta, a, Y)$  solves

$$\partial_{\tau}Y = -H(Y)\nu(Y) - a\langle y, \nabla_y \rangle Y + aY - g^{-1}\partial_{\tau}gY - \lambda^{-1}g^{-1}\partial_{\tau}\zeta.$$

Call this the rescaled mean curvature flow. Stationary solutions (cylinders in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ):

$$Y \equiv Y_{a_0} := \left(y, \sqrt{\frac{k}{a_0}}\omega\right), \tag{1}$$
$$(g, \zeta, a) \equiv (g_0, \zeta_0, a_0) \in \Sigma. \tag{2}$$

### Graphical equations

We seek maximal solution X to MCF on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}_{x} \times \mathbb{S}^{k}_{\omega} \times \mathbb{R}_{0 \leq t < T}$  of the form (c.f. (R))

$$X(x,\omega,t) = \lambda(t)g(t)\underbrace{\left(y(x,t), \left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{a(t)}} + \xi(y(x,t),\omega,\tau(t))\right)\omega\right)}_{+\zeta(t)} + \zeta(t)$$

normal perturbation of the stationary sol. to RMCF

Here and below, we write X of this form as  $X = X(\sigma, \xi)$ , where

- 1.  $\sigma \equiv (g, \zeta, a)$  is a path of symmetry.
- 2.  $\xi : \mathbb{R}_{y}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{R}_{\omega}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\tau \geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a small (normal) perturbation.

### Configuration spaces

For  $s \ge 0, a > 0$ , define the Gaussian weighted Sobolev space

$$X^{s}(a) := H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n-k}_{y} \times \mathbb{S}^{k}_{\omega}, \mathbb{R}; \rho_{a}), \quad \rho_{a} := e^{-a|y|^{2}/2} d\mu.$$
(3)

Here  $d\mu$  is the canonical measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{S}^k$ . For  $s \leq r$ ,  $0 < b \leq a$ , clearly,  $X^r(b) \subset X^s(a)$ . Huisken's F-functional:

$$F_{a}(v) := \int_{S} \rho_{a} d\mu_{S}, \quad S := \left\{ (y, v(y, \omega)\omega) : v : \mathbb{R}_{y}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{R}_{\omega}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$
(4)
This is  $C^{2}$  on  $X^{s}(a)$  with  $a > 0, s \ge 2$  (assume this from now on).

#### Lemma (Implied Equation)

 $X = X(\sigma, \xi)$  solves the MCF if and only if  $(\sigma, \xi)$  satisfy

$$\dot{\xi} = -F'_{a}(\sqrt{k/a} + \xi) - \partial_{\sigma}W(\sigma)\dot{\sigma}, \qquad (5)$$

Here,

$$F'_{a}(v)$$
 is the  $X^{0}(a)$ -gradient of  $F_{a}$  at  $v$ ,  
 $W: \Sigma \ni \sigma \mapsto \sqrt{k/a} + g_{n-k+l,j}\omega^{l}y^{j} + \langle z, \lambda^{-1}\omega \rangle \in X^{s}(a).$ 

#### Proof.

Direct computation by plugging  $X = X(\sigma, \xi)$  into the RMCF. Below we call (5) the graphical RMCF.

### Main Theorem: Set up

Let  $X^{s}(a)$ ,  $s \geq 2$ , a > 0 be the Gaussian weighted Sobolev space. There exists  $0 < \delta \ll 1$  s.th. the following holds: For every  $a_{0} \geq 1/2 + 2\delta$ , there exists a linear subspace  $S \subset X^{s}(a_{0})$  with finite codimensions, an open set  $\mathcal{B}_{\delta} \subset \{ \|\eta\|_{X^{s}} < \delta \}$ , and a map

$$\begin{split} \Phi : \mathcal{B}_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{S} \to X^{s} \equiv X^{s}(1/2), \quad \text{satisfying} \\ \|\Phi(\eta_{0})\|_{X^{s}} \lesssim \|\eta_{0}\|_{X^{s}}^{2} \quad (\text{quadratic}), \\ \|\Phi(\eta_{0}) - \Phi(\eta_{1})\|_{X^{s}} \lesssim \delta \|\eta_{0} - \eta_{1}\|_{X^{s}} \quad (\text{Lipshitz}), \end{split}$$

for every  $\eta_0, \eta_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{S}$ , as well as the following properties:

#### Main Theorem: Global existence

For every  $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{S}$ , there exists a global (i.e.  $0 \leq \tau < \infty$ ) solution ( $\sigma = (g, \zeta, a), \xi$ ) to the graphical rescaled MCF

$$\dot{\xi} = -F'_{a}(\sqrt{k/a}+\xi) - \partial_{\sigma}W(\sigma)\dot{\sigma},$$

with initial configuration

$$\xi|_{\tau=0} = \eta_0 + \Phi(\eta_0), \quad \sigma|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{1}_{n+1}, 0, a_0).$$

By Implied Equation Lemma, this gives rise to a maximal sol. to MCF on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{S}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{R}_{0 \le t < T}$ , namely  $X = X(\sigma, \xi)$ .

#### Main Theorem: Dissipative estimates

Here and below, we write

$$\langle \cdot 
angle := (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{1/2}.$$

The solution  $\xi(\cdot, \tau)$  from the existence part is non-negative for all  $\tau$ , and dissipates to zero, with the decay estimate

$$\|\xi(\cdot,\tau)\|_{X^s} \le \delta \langle \tau \rangle^{-2}, \quad \tau \ge 0.$$
(6)

In fact, the choice of the open set  $\mathcal{B}_{\delta} \subset \{ \|\eta\|_{X^{s}} < \delta \}$  ensures  $\xi(\cdot, \tau) \geq 0$  for all  $\tau$ , which guarantees embeddedness of  $X(\sigma, \xi)$ .

## Remarks on the Main Theorem

1. By definition, up to a rigid motion, cylinders with radius  $\sqrt{k/a_0}$  correspond to the following stationary solution to the graphic RMCF

$$\sigma_0 \equiv (g_0, \zeta_0, a_0), \quad \xi_0 \equiv 0.$$

2. By the Main Theorem, the set

$$M := \{\eta + \Phi(\eta) : \eta \in S \cap \mathcal{B}_{\delta}\}$$

forms a non-degenerate, finite codimensional stable manifold for the graphic RMCF, parametrized by  $S \subset X^{s}(a_{0})$ . Typical element in M

## Stability of Cylindrical Singularities

- In [Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012)], Colding-Minicozzi showed cylindrical singularities are *F*-unstable.
- ► In terms of the graphical RMCF, this means that the static solution  $\xi_0 \equiv 0$  is *linearly unstable*.
- By Main Theorem above, under a generic class of initial perturbations, namely those in the finite-codimensional stable manifold *M*, the static sol. ξ<sub>0</sub> is actually *asymptotically* stable: a generic perturbation ξ = ξ<sub>0</sub> + η + Φ(η) dissipates to ξ<sub>0</sub> = 0 as τ → ∞.

Recall  $F_a : X^s(a) \to \mathbb{R}$  (Huisken's *F*-functional) is a  $C^2$  functional. The linearized operator of  $F'_a(v)$  at the critical point  $v \equiv \sqrt{k/a}$  is

$$L(a) = -\Delta_y + a \langle y, \nabla_y(\cdot) \rangle - rac{a}{k} \Delta_\omega - 2a.$$

Here a > 0 corresponds to the cylindrical radius. From now on we write the graphical RMCF as

$$\dot{\xi} = -F'_{\mathsf{a}}(\sqrt{k/a}+\xi) - \partial_{\sigma}W(\sigma)\dot{\sigma}$$
  
=  $-L(\mathfrak{a})\xi - N(\mathfrak{a},\xi) - \partial_{\sigma}W(\sigma)\dot{\sigma}.$ 

Here  $N(a,\xi) := F'_a(\sqrt{k/a} + \xi) - L(a)\xi$  is the nonlinearity.

## Linearized operator

The fact that cylinders are F-unstable has to do with the linearized operator at the cylinder.

Lemma (Colding-Minicozzi)

The linearized operator

$$L(a) = -\Delta_y + a \langle y, \nabla_y(\cdot) 
angle - rac{a}{k} \Delta_\omega - 2a$$

is self-adjoint in  $X^{s}(a)$ , and is bounded from  $X^{s}(a) \rightarrow X^{s-2}(a)$ . The spectrum of L(a) is purely discrete, and the only non-positive eigenvalues, together with the associated eigenfuncitons, are

## Zero-unstable modes of L(a)

$$-2a, \quad ext{with eigenfunction } \Sigma^{(0,0)(0,0,0)}(a):=-rac{\sqrt{k}}{2}a^{-3/2},$$

- $-a, \quad ext{with eigenfunctions } \Sigma^{(0,1)(0,0,l)}(a) := \lambda^{-1} \omega,$
- $-a, \quad ext{with eigenfunctions } \Sigma^{(1,0)(i,0,0)}(a) := rac{1}{\left\|y^i
  ight\|_{0,a}^2}y^i,$

 $0, \quad \text{with eigenfunctions } \Sigma^{(1,1)(i,0,l)}(\textbf{\textit{a}}) := y^i \omega^l,$ 

0, with eigenfunctions 
$$\Sigma^{(2,0)(i,j,0)}(a) := rac{1}{\|ay^iy^j - \delta_{ij}\|_{0,a}^2}(ay^iy^j - \delta_{ij}).$$

*Remark.* Some, but not all of the zero-unstable modes of the linearized operator L(a) are due to broken symmetries.

## Main ideas

- So far we have only one equation for ξ, whereas we are solving for a pair of unknowns (σ, ξ).
- Introduce an equation for σ (the modulation equation) to remove the effect of the symmetry zero-unstable modes.
- Incorporate certain zero-unstable modes into the solution (!) to ensure dissipative estimates at τ → ∞.

The last point was first rigorously implemented in [J. Geom. Anal. 19 (2009)] by Zhou Gang and Sigal. Similar modulation method is customary in the study of e.g. NLS soliton.

### Modulation equations

Recall the following zero-unstable modes of linearized opr. L(a):

-2a, with eigenfunction 
$$\Sigma^{(0,0)(0,0,0)}(a) := -\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2}a^{-3/2}$$
,  
-a, with eigenfunctions  $\Sigma^{(0,1)(0,0,l)}(a) := \lambda^{-1}\omega$ ,  
0, with eigenfunctions  $\Sigma^{(1,1)(i,0,l)}(a) := y^i \omega^l$ ,

For these  $\Sigma^{(m,n)}(a)$  with (m,n) = (0,0), (0,1), (1,1), there exists a path  $\sigma(\tau) \in \Sigma$  (the symmetry Lie group of MCF) s.th. we can eliminate the distablizing effect of these modes.

#### Lemma (Modulation)

Suppose  $(\sigma, \xi)$  is a global solution to the graphical RMCF s.th.

$$\left\langle \xi(0),\, \Sigma^{(m,n)}(a(0)) 
ight
angle_{a(0)} = 0 \quad \left( \left\langle \cdot,\, \cdot 
ight
angle_{a} = \mathit{inn. prod. on} \; X^{0}(a) 
ight)$$

for (m, n) = (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1).

Then  $\xi$  satisfies the orthogonality condition for all subsequent times

$$\left\langle \xi(\tau), \, \Sigma^{(m,n)}(a(\tau)) \right\rangle_{a(\tau)} = 0, \quad \tau \ge 0, \, (m,n) = (0,0), (0,1), (1,1),$$

if and only if  $\sigma = (g, z, a)$  satisfies the modulation equations:

$$\partial_{\tau}\sigma = \vec{F}(\sigma)\xi + \vec{M}(\sigma,\xi),$$

for some explicit vector fields  $\vec{F}$ ,  $\vec{M}$ .

#### Proof.

Differentiating both sides of the equation

$$\left\langle \xi(\tau), \, \Sigma^{(m,n)}(a(\tau)) \right\rangle_{a(\tau)} = 0$$
(7)

w.r.t. au, we find that (7) holds for all  $au \geq 0$  if and only if

- 1. (7) holds for  $\tau = 0$ ;
- 2. For  $\tau > 0$ , there holds

$$\left\langle \dot{\xi}(\tau), \, \Sigma^{(m,n)}(\boldsymbol{a}(\tau)) \right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{a}(\tau)} = -\left\langle \xi(\tau), \, \partial_{\tau} \Sigma^{(m,n)}(\boldsymbol{a}(\tau)) \right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{a}(\tau)}.$$
(8)

The first point is in the assumption. Plugging the equation for  $\dot{\xi}$  (which involves  $\partial_{\sigma} W(\sigma) \dot{\sigma}$ ) into (8), we get the equation for  $\dot{\sigma}$ .

### Linear correction

The linear subspace S in the Main Theorem removes all the zero-unstable modes of  $L(a_0)$  from the configuration space  $X^s(a_0)$ . Hence, the codimension of S is the sum of the multipliciteis of all the non-positive eigenvalues of  $L(a_0)$ , which equals to

$$\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{S} = n+2+rac{(n-k)(n-k+3)}{2}.$$

Hence, by the Modulation Lemma, if  $\eta_0 \in S$  and  $\sigma$  solves the modulation equation, then the flow  $\xi(t)$  generated by  $\eta_0$  remains orthogonal to  $\Sigma^{(m,n)}(a)$ , (m,n) = (0,0), (0,1), (1,1) for all  $\tau \geq 0$ .

### Need of quadratic correction

The twist here is that not all of the zero-unstable modes of the linearized operator L(a) can be eliminated by the modulation method. Two classes of zero-unstable modes persist:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma^{(1,0)(i,0,0)}(a) &:= \frac{1}{\|y^i\|_{0,a}^2} y^i \quad (EV = -a), \\ \Sigma^{(2,0)(i,j,0)}(a) &:= \frac{1}{\|ay^i y^j - \delta_{ij}\|_{0,a}^2} (ay^i y^j - \delta_{ij}) \quad (EV = 0). \end{split}$$

To eliminate these, we introduce the correction map  $\Phi$ . This is quadratic in the sense that  $\|\Phi(\eta)\|_{X^s} \lesssim \|\eta\|_{X^s}^2$  for  $\eta \in S \cap \mathcal{B}_{\delta}$ . As we will see,  $\Phi(\eta)$  incorporates certain unstable modes of  $L(a_0)$ .

### Preliminaries for defining $\Phi$

In order to define  $\Phi$ , take a fixed path

$$(\sigma^{(0)},\xi^{(0)})\in Lip(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},\Sigma) imes (C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},X^s)\cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},X^{s-2})).$$

Consider the following system, obtained by freezing coefficients in the graphical RMCF and the modulation equations at  $(\sigma^{(0)}, \xi^{(0)})$ :

$$\dot{\xi} = -L(a^{(0)})\xi - N(a^{(0)}, \xi^{(0)}) - \partial_{\sigma}W(\sigma^{(0)})\dot{\sigma}, \qquad (\mathsf{LE}\xi)$$
$$\dot{\sigma} = \vec{F}(\sigma^{(0)})\xi + \vec{M}(\sigma^{(0)}, \xi^{(0)}), \qquad (\mathsf{LE}\sigma)$$

To  $(LE\xi)$ - $(LE\sigma)$  we associate the initial configurations

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(0) &= (\mathbf{1}, 0, a_0) & \text{for some fixed } a_0 > 1/2, \\ \xi(0) &= \eta_0 + \beta_i \Sigma^{(1,0)(i,0,0)}(a_0) + \gamma_{ij} \Sigma^{(2,0),(i,j,0)}(a_0). \end{aligned} \tag{IC$\xi$}$$

Here  $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{S} \subset X^s(a_0)$  is fixed, and  $\beta_i, \gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  are to be chosen later as functions of  $\eta_0$ . Recall that

$$\Sigma^{(1,0)(i,0,0)}(a) := \frac{1}{\|y^i\|_{0,a}^2} y^i \quad (EV = -a),$$

$$\Sigma^{(2,0)(i,j,0)}(a) := \frac{1}{\|ay^i y^j - \delta_{ij}\|_{0,a}^2} (ay^i y^j - \delta_{ij}) \quad (EV = 0).$$
(10)

are the zero-unstable modes that persist modulation.

## The solution map $\Psi$

Consider the solution map

- $\Psi: (\sigma^{(0)}, \xi^{(0)}) \mapsto$  the unique solution  $(\sigma, \xi)$  to  $(\mathsf{LE}\xi)$ - $(\mathsf{IC}\xi)$ . (11)
- Using standard parabolic theory, one can show that this map is well-defined.
- Hereafter we want to show that Ψ is a contraction in a suitable space of dissipating paths.
- Then the fixed point of Ψ will be a dissipating solution to the graphical RMCF.

#### Definition

Fix  $0 < \delta \ll 1$ . The space  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta} = \mathcal{A}_{\delta}^{\sigma} \times \mathcal{A}_{\delta}^{\xi}$  consists of

 $(\sigma,\xi)\in Lip(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},\Sigma)\times (C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},X^s)\cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},X^{s-2})),$ 

s.th. the following holds:

1.  $\sigma(0)$  is as in (IC $\sigma$ ), with  $a_0 \geq \frac{1}{2} + 2\delta$ ;

2. For some fixed  $c_0 > 0$ , there hold the decay estimates

$$|\dot{\sigma}(\tau)| \le c_0 \delta \langle \tau \rangle^{-2}, \quad \tau \ge 0,$$
 (12)

$$\|\xi(\tau)\|_{s} \leq \delta \langle \tau \rangle^{-2}, \quad \tau \geq 0;$$
(13)

3. Certain pivot condition (technical but easy to verify).

## The contraction scheme

We want to show

1.  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}_{\delta}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\delta};$ 

2.  $\Psi : \mathcal{A}_{\delta} \to \mathcal{A}_{\delta}$  is a contraction w.r.t. a suitable norm on  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta}$ . *Remark.* Consider the initial configuration (IC $\xi$ ). The constants  $\beta_i$  and  $\gamma_{ij}$  are to be determined later as a function of  $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{S}$ . Hence, the map  $\Psi$  depends only on  $\eta_0$ . Indeed, if  $\eta_0 = 0$ , then it is easy to see that the fixed point of  $\Psi(\cdot, 0)$  is just the vector  $(\sigma, \xi) \equiv (\sigma(0), 0)$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta}$ . This corresponds to the trivial static solution (=cylinder of radius  $\sqrt{k/a_0}$ ).

#### Definition (the quadratic correction $\Phi$ )

For  $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{S}$ , define

$$\Phi(\eta_0) := \beta_i(\eta_0) \Sigma^{(1,0)(i,0,0)}(a_0) + \gamma_{ij}(\eta_0) \Sigma^{(2,0),(i,j,0)}(a_0), \quad (14)$$

where  $\beta_i$ ,  $\gamma_{ij}$  are some functions of  $\eta_0$ , s.th.  $\Psi = \Psi(\cdot, \eta_0)$  has a unique fixed point in  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta}$  (c.f. the initial condition (IC $\xi$ ) for  $\xi$ ).

#### Proof of the Main Theorem.

By construction of  $\Psi$ , if it has fixed point in  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta}$ , then this fixed point is a global solution to the graphical RMCF dissipating to 0 in  $X^{s}$ -norm as  $\tau \to \infty$ . The heart of the matter is to show  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}_{\delta}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\delta}$ . Given  $\eta_0$ , we construct explicit numbers  $\beta_i(\eta_0)$ ,  $\gamma_{ij}(\eta_0)$  in the initial condition (IC $\xi$ ) that fulfills this mapping property.

### Theorem (Choice of $\beta$ , $\gamma$ )

For every  $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta} \cap S$  and every fixed path  $(\sigma^{(0)}, \xi^{(0)}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta}$ , there exist unique coefficients  $\beta_i$ ,  $\gamma_{ij}$ , depending on the choice of  $\sigma^{(0)}, \xi^{(0)}$  only, s.th. the solution to  $(LE\xi)$ - $(IC\xi)$  lies in  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta}$ . Moreover, there hold the quadratic estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \beta_i(\sigma^{(0)}, \xi^{(0)}) \right| \lesssim \delta^2, \tag{15} \\ \left| \gamma_{ij}(\sigma^{(0)}, \xi^{(0)}) \right| \lesssim \delta^2. \tag{16} \end{aligned}$$

#### Lemma (mechanism for choosing $\beta$ and $\gamma$ )

Fix two functions  $a(t) \ge 0$  and  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}, \mathbb{R})$ . Consider the Cauchy problem for  $x : \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0} \to \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\dot{x} - a(t)x = f, \tag{17}$$

$$x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (18)

There exists a unique solution with  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$  if and only if

$$x_0 = -\int_0^\infty f_i(t') e^{-\int_0^{t'} a} dt' \text{ in (18).}$$
 (19)

Moreover, if (19) holds, then the solution to (17)-(18) is given by

$$x(t) = -\int_{t}^{\infty} f(t') e^{-\int_{t}^{t'} a}, \, d\tau'.$$
 (20)

### Sketch Proof of the Choice Theorem

1. Let  $(\sigma,\xi) := \Psi(\sigma^{(0)},\xi^{(0)})$ . By assumption,  $(\sigma^{(0)},\xi^{(0)})$  satisfies the decay estimate

$$ig|\dot{\sigma}^{(0)}( au)ig|\leq c_0\delta\,\langle au
angle^{-2}\,,\quad au\geq 0, \ \left\|\xi^{(0)}( au)
ight\|_s\leq\delta\,\langle au
angle^{-2}\,,\quad au\geq 0.$$

We want to show the same for  $(\sigma, \xi)$ . The key is to check the decay conditions for  $\xi$ . Then the decay of  $\dot{\sigma}$  follows from the modulation equation.

2. Let  $P^{(m,n)}(\tau)$  be the projection onto the span of the zero-unstable modes span  $\{\Sigma^{(m,n)(i,j,l)}(a^{(0)}(\tau))\}$  of  $L(a^{(0)}(\tau))$ , and write  $\xi^{(m,n)} := P^{(m,n)}\xi$ . Let  $Q := 1 - \sum P^{(m,n)}$  and write  $\xi_S = Q\xi = \xi - \sum \xi^{(m,n)}$  (=stable projection w.r.t.  $L(a^{(0)})$ ). Due to the modulation equation, we know

$$\xi^{(m,n)} = 0$$
 for  $(m,n) = (0,0), (0,1), (1,1).$ 

Now we expand

$$\xi = \xi_S + \sum_{m=1,2} \xi^{(m,0)},$$

and plug this expansion into the graphical RMCF for  $\xi$ :

$$\dot{\xi} = -L(a^{(0)})\xi - N(a^{(0)},\xi^{(0)}) - \partial_{\sigma}W(\sigma^{(0)})\dot{\sigma}$$

Using the orthogonality among various eigenfunctions of the self-adjoint operator  $L(a^{(0)}(\tau))$  in the space  $X^{s}(a^{(0)}(\tau))$ ,  $s \ge 2$ , we get the following system:

$$\dot{\xi}_{S} - QL(a^{(0)})\xi_{S} = -QN(a^{(0)},\xi^{(0)}),$$
 (21)

$$\dot{\beta}_i - \mathbf{a}^{(0)}\beta_i = f_i, \tag{22}$$

$$\dot{\gamma}_{ij} = h_{ij}, \tag{23}$$

where

$$f_{i} = -\left\langle N(a^{(0)}, \xi^{(0)}), \Sigma^{(1,0)(i,0,0)}(a^{(0)}) \right\rangle_{a^{(0)}},$$
(24)  
$$h_{ij} = -\left\langle N(a^{(0)}, \xi^{(0)}), \Sigma^{(2,0)(i,j,0)}(a^{(0)}) \right\rangle_{a^{(0)}}.$$
(25)

The initial configurations associated to (21)-(23) are resp. the three terms in the initial condition for  $\xi$ :

$$\xi(0) = \eta_0 + \beta_i \Sigma^{(1,0)(i,0,0)}(a_0) + \gamma_{ij} \Sigma^{(2,0),(i,j,0)}(a_0) \quad (\mathsf{IC}\xi)$$

Since (21)-(23) are already decoupled, in what follows we consider the Cauchy problem for  $\xi_S$ ,  $\beta_i$ ,  $\gamma_{ij}$  separately. First, for the equation (21) for the stable part  $\xi_S$ , we can use standard propagator estimate to show that

$$\|\xi_{\mathcal{S}}(\tau)\|_{s} \le \delta e^{-c\tau}, \quad \tau \ge 0,$$
(26)

where c is an absolute constant.

Next, applying the ODE Lemma to the equation for  $\beta$ 

$$\dot{\beta}_i - a^{(0)}\beta_i = f_i,$$

we find that  $\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \beta_i(\tau) = 0$  if and only if the initial configuration is given by

$$\beta_i(0) = -\int_0^\infty f_i(\tau')\lambda(\tau')^{-1} d\tau' \quad \left(\lambda(\tau) = e^{\int_0^\tau a(\tau')} d\tau'\right). \quad (27)$$

This integral indeed converges, since  $\lambda(\tau) \ge 1$ , and some nonlinear estimate that shows  $|f_i(\tau)| \lesssim \langle \tau \rangle^{-4}$ .

Choose  $\beta_i(0)$  as in (27). Then  $\beta_i(\tau)$  is given by the ODE Lemma:

$$\beta_i(\tau) = -\int_{\tau}^{\infty} f(\tau') \frac{\lambda(\tau)}{\lambda(\tau')} \, d\tau'.$$
(28)

For all  $\tau \ge 0$ , this function satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_{i}(\tau)| &\leq \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \left| f_{i}(\tau') \right| e^{-\int_{\tau}^{\tau'} a} d\tau' \\ &\leq \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \left| f_{i}(\tau') \right| d\tau' \\ &\leq C \delta^{2} \left\langle \tau \right\rangle^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$
(29)

Here the last inequality follows from  $|f_i| \lesssim \delta^2 \langle \tau \rangle^{-4}$ , due to some nonlinear estimate as before.

We conclude from (29) that

$$|\beta_i(\mathbf{0})| \lesssim \delta^2. \tag{30}$$

This gives quadratic estimate (15).

By (29) and some technical interpolation inequalities, we conclude

$$\left\| \mathcal{P}^{(1,0)}\xi(\tau) \right\|_{s} \leq \delta \left\langle \tau \right\rangle^{-2},\tag{31}$$

provided  $\delta$  is sufficiently small. Using the exact same argument we can determine the unique numbers  $\gamma_{ij}(\eta) = O(\delta^2)$  that make

$$\left\| P^{(2,0)}\xi(\tau) \right\|_{s} \leq \delta \left\langle \tau \right\rangle^{-2}.$$
(32)

This proves the Choice Theorem.  $\Box$ 

# Thanks for your attention